



THE ARCHDIOCESE OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS

ONE PETER YORKE WAY, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109-6602 • (415) 614-5632 • FAX (415) 614-5633

MEDIA RELEASE

Contact: George Wesolek (415) 606-7112

Bishops Say Obama Compromise is Unacceptable

(February 14, 2012) SAN FRANCISCO – The Catholic Bishops responded to Obama's accommodations by saying it did not resolve issues of religious freedom and contraceptive coverage. Following is the USCCB Statement.

Bishops Renew Call to Legislative Action on Religious Liberty

(February 10, 2012) WASHINGTON - The United States Conference of Catholic Bishops (USCCB) have issued the following statement:

The Catholic bishops have long supported access to life-affirming healthcare for all, and the conscience rights of everyone involved in the complex process of providing that healthcare. That is why we raised **two serious objections** to the "preventive services" regulation issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) in August 2011.

First, we objected to the rule **forcing** private health plans — nationwide, by the stroke of a bureaucrat's pen—to cover sterilization and contraception, including drugs that may cause abortion. All the other mandated "preventive services" prevent disease, and **pregnancy is not a disease**. Moreover, forcing plans to cover abortifacients violates existing federal conscience laws. Therefore, we called for the rescission of the mandate altogether.

Second, we explained that the mandate would impose a burden of unprecedented reach and severity on the consciences of those who consider such "services" immoral: **insurers forced** to write policies including this coverage; **employers and schools forced** to sponsor and subsidize the coverage; and individual **employees and students forced** to pay premiums for the coverage. We therefore urged HHS, if it insisted on keeping the mandate, to provide a conscience exemption for **all** of these stakeholders—not just the extremely small subset of "religious employers" that HHS proposed to exempt initially. Today, the President has done two things.

First, he has decided to **retain HHS's nationwide mandate** of insurance coverage of sterilization and contraception, including some abortifacients. This is both unsupported in the law and remains a grave moral concern. **We cannot fail to reiterate this**, even as so many would focus exclusively on the question of religious liberty.

Second, the President has announced **some changes** in how that mandate will be administered, which is **still unclear in its details**. As far as we can tell at this point, the change appears to have the following basic contours:

- It would **still mandate that all insurers must include coverage** for the objectionable services in all the policies they would write. At this point, it would appear that self-insuring religious employers, and religious insurance companies, are not exempt from this mandate.

- It would allow non-profit, religious employers to **declare** that they do not offer such coverage. But the employee and insurer may separately agree to add that coverage. The employee would not have to pay any additional amount to obtain this coverage, and the coverage would be provided as a part of the employer's policy, not as a separate rider.

- Finally, we are told that the one-year extension on the effective date (from August 1, 2012 to August 1, 2013) is available to any non-profit religious employer who desires it, without any government application or approval process.

These changes require **careful moral analysis**, and moreover, appear subject to some measure of change. But we note at the outset that the **lack of clear protection** for key stakeholders—for self-insured religious employers; for religious and secular for-profit employers; for secular non-profit employers; for religious insurers; and for individuals—is **unacceptable and must be corrected**. And in the case where the employee and insurer agree to add the objectionable coverage, that coverage is still provided as a **part of the objecting employer's plan**, financed in the **same way** as the rest of the coverage offered by the objecting employer. This, too, raises **serious moral concerns**.

We just received information about this proposal for the first time this morning; we were not consulted in advance. Some information we have is in writing and some is oral. We will, of course, continue to press for the greatest conscience protection we can secure from the Executive Branch. But stepping away from the particulars, we note that today's proposal continues to involve needless government intrusion in the internal governance of religious institutions, and to threaten government coercion of religious people and groups to violate their most deeply held convictions. In a nation dedicated to religious liberty as its first and founding principle, we should not be limited to negotiating within these parameters. The only complete solution to this religious liberty problem is for HHS to rescind the mandate of these objectionable services.

We will therefore continue—with no less vigor, no less sense of urgency—our efforts to correct this problem through the other two branches of government. For example, we renew our call on Congress to pass, and the Administration to sign, the Respect for Rights of Conscience Act. And we renew our call to the Catholic faithful, and to all our fellow Americans, to join together in this effort to protect religious liberty and freedom of conscience for all.

<http://usccb.org/issues-and-action/religious-liberty/conscience-protection/bishops-renew-call-to-legislative-action-on-religious-liberty.cfm>

###